DECISION MEMORANDUM

TO:

COMMISSIONER KJELLANDER COMMISSIONER REDFORD COMMISSIONER SMITH

COMMISSION SECRETARY

LEGAL

WORKING FILE

FROM:

CAROLEE HALL

DATE:

NOVEMBER 15, 2011

RE:

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO AMEND THE TYPE I AND TYPE

II PAGING AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND

PAGEDATA LLC ("PAGEDATA"). CASE NO. QWE-T-03-06.

BACKGROUND

Under the provision of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements "may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do not comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251 (b) or (c)." Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC's statement that "a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51]." 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

CURRENT APPLICATION

On October 31, 2011, Qwest Corporation and PageData filed an Application for approval to amend the Type 1 and Type 2 Paging Connection Service Agreement between the Parties.

The Commission approved the original Agreement between the Parties on March 10, 2009.

This amendment adds terms, conditions and rates for 800 Pageline Services, as set forth in Attachment 1 and Exhibit A, attached to the Application.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff has reviewed the Application and does not find any terms or conditions that it considers to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest as identified in the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Accordingly, Staff believes that the Agreement merits the Commission's approval.

COMMISSION DECISION

Does the Commission agree?

Carolee Hall

 $i: udmemos/Interconnection-wireless\ and\ paging/Qwest\ and\ PageData\ Amendment\ to\ the\ Type\ 1\ and\ Type\ 2\ Agreement$